		Page 1
1		
2		
3		
4	PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE MINUTES	
5	December 12, 2001	
6	9:09 a.m. to 10:20 a.m.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
	APPEARANCES:	
14		
15	Frank A. Wilson	
	U.S. Army Engineer District, FTW	
16	817.886.1057	
	817.886.6407	
17		
18	Jacob (Al) Khatena	
	U.S. Army Engineer District, FTW	
19	817.886.1476	
	817.886.6409	
20		
21	Robert F. Wooley	
	U.S. Army Engineer District, FTW	
22	817.886.1479	
	817.886.6409	
23		
24		
25		

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
2		
3	Reg Hartso	
	Chuck Bathurst	
4	Straightline Construction, Inc.	
_	253.926.2555	
5	253.926.8525	
6	David MacOvers	
7	David McQueen Cherokee General Corp.	
/	253.333.7393	
8	253.333.7694	
9	233.333.7051	
	Chester A. Bergner	
10	Neon Construction, Inc.	
	253.896.1144	
11	253.896.3669	
12		
	Casey Cox	
13	J & J Maintenance, Inc.	
1.4	253.964.4046	
14 15	253.964.4079	
15	Jeff Heim	
16	Madigan Engineers	
	253.968.3289	
17		
18	Brent LeVander	
	Centennial Contractors	
19	253.912.1910	
20		
0.1	Barry Reimer	
21	Electrocom	
22	425.774.6600 425.771.7110	
23	423.//1./110	
23	Lisa Martinez	
24	Rob Simpson	
	Martinez International	
25	253.826.7877	
	253.826.8182	

	Page 3
1	December 12, 2001
2	
3	<<<< >>>>
4	
5	MR. WILSON: My name is Frank
6	Wilson. I'm with the Corps of Engineers in Forth Worth.
7	We are passing a roster around for everybody to sign in,
8	and that will be attached to the set of minutes that
9	will be issued, so therefore, it will say who is here,
10	get phone numbers, and company names.
11	Al, would you like to present yourself?
12	MR. KHATENA: Sure. I'm Al Khatena,
13	and I'm the program manager for the medical job order
14	contract program that the Army medical command has, and
15	it covers the nation. This solicitation is one contract
16	out of the few that is going to be specifically set
17	aside for work at Fort Lewis on medical and associated
18	facilities, so we call it our site-specific 8(a) med
19	job, and so we just set aside for 8(a) contractors.
20	The program covers construction of medical
21	associated facilities under the Davis Bacon Act, and we
22	also have a design component that we have that is called
23	work plan development. So it becomes pretty much a
24	turnkey effort.
25	Some of the some of the work plan effort will

- 1 require professional services, and some may not. We
- 2 will collectively make that determination. If the
- 3 government decides that they don't want to do
- 4 professional services, then your work plan effort, in
- 5 terms of documenting the construction that's going to
- 6 take place, will be very minimal. It will be line
- 7 drawings, typically schematics, things like that,
- 8 catalog cuts.
- 9 If professional services are ordered, then you're
- 10 directed to look at the architectural engineering
- 11 instruction manual that is part of the solicitation
- 12 right now under the notes button, for lack of -- in the
- 13 electronic copy, for lack of a better term, and that
- 14 will then guide you in terms of the definitions of what
- 15 the deliverables are and in terms of professional
- 16 services being ordered.
- 17 They go in -- there are six stages. It runs from
- 18 about a zero to 5 percent design all the way up to 100
- 19 percent design, and each stage takes you to the next
- 20 increment. If, in fact, the government has a design
- 21 already to a certain stage and we want to take it to the
- 22 next stage, we will then decrement the amount of effort
- 23 in order to just pay for the effort that we are looking
- 24 for to take it to the next level.
- 25 The unit price book associated items with the --

- 1 associated with the professional services is under
- 2 Section 0.1900. And so the titles of those line items
- are associated with the AEIM so it becomes very easy to
- 4 cross-reference, but the definitions are as such, and
- 5 you have to look at that and be aware.
- 6 And what you will be doing is applying a
- 7 coefficient to -- you'll have a work plan element in the
- 8 bid schedule, and you'll have a construction element in
- 9 the bid schedule separated so that we don't want to
- 10 encumber work plan development in the construction cost.
- 11 Keep it separate. So you'll want to look at that, and
- 12 it's applying the overhead and profit.
- The idea is for you-all to be able to deal more
- 14 directly with Madigan. And Jeff Heim, at some point, is
- 15 going to be assigned some COR duties that it's agreed
- 16 he's willing to take on, and it may be a shared effort
- 17 between Fort Worth District Corps of Engineers, myself
- 18 and my team, and Madigan. So we still have yet to work
- 19 out our details.
- 20 But in any case, work will be ordered as is
- 21 discussed in the sections of the contract ordering
- 22 procedures in Section H, and the paragraph is 9, H.9. A
- 23 lot of the work plan discussions are shared between
- 24 Section C and Section H.9. I'm trying to think of what
- 25 paragraph in Section C. You'll have to look in

- 1 Section C under work plan.
- Okay. There is a work plan development -- again,
- 3 this is all professional services stuff. There is a --
- 4 there is a schedule that is -- that depicts duration for
- 5 each stage, and there is a game plan about how do you --
- 6 how do you deal with stages that have already been done
- 7 and you want to go to the next stage, how do you
- 8 compress schedules, and all that. Look in Section H.9
- 9 again.
- Section C, work plans start at C.2.2.5.1, Page C-3
- 11 currently, and it -- it lists the six stages. And then
- when you get further down into that, at C.2.2.5.1.4, it
- 13 depicts what constitutes a work plan package. Okay?
- 14 There are a number of items in there, so you want to pay
- 15 close attention to the requirements on that.
- I think that's good enough from my point of view.
- 17 Frank, do you want anything -- do you want to start your
- 18 part in this? Oh, you know what, Frank? Let me -- Jeff
- 19 has told me that he had to be out of here by, what,
- 20 10:15?
- MR. HEIM: I've got to leave here in
- 22 about an hour. I've got about an hour before I need to
- 23 sneak out for a little bit.
- MR. KHATENA: You may want to say
- 25 what you want to say.

I'm Jeff Heim. 1 MR. HEIM: 2. acting facility director and chief of technical services here at Madigan, so we're the primary user of the 3 4 contract. And I've got a core group of about six to eight engineers and technicians who will be the project 5 managers for the projects that the eventual contractor 6 will be working with. 7 At this point, we still have a budget from Uncle 8 Sam, and it's kind of holding us up in our -- our money 10 comes from our headquarters in San Antonio, so at this point -- I would like to have been able to give the 11 group kind of an overview of kind of the projects that I 12 would foresee coming down the road, but I can't do that 13 14 quite yet. I guess we can get into that later with some 15 questions, but the work really encompasses maybe 50/50 work in this facility itself, and then we have the old 16 Madigan campus, which is about a half mile away -- I 17 don't know if you folks are familiar with the old 18 19 hospital -- and we have a lot of tenants over there, 1945 vintage facility, totally different than this. 20 21 And we occasionally do a lot of renovation and upgrades 22 to that facility. So this is going to encompass kind of 23 a wide variety of things. 24 The job contract that we've been using now, we

utilize it for just about everything, electrical,

25

- 1 mechanical, architectural. The professional services
- 2 that are in this new contract are new to us, and I'm not
- 3 sure how we will utilize that. I have a staff of -- a
- 4 full complement of engineers, electrical, mechanical,
- 5 environmental. We have an architect. So in terms of
- 6 trying to guess for you how much of the professional
- 7 services we would be ordering, I'm not really sure. If
- 8 we get into sophisticated architectural design, we don't
- 9 have a structural engineer, so I see that as perhaps one
- 10 area that we would utilize, and maybe some of our more
- 11 sophisticated electrical/mechanical projects, but it's
- 12 really hard for me to answer those questions right now.
- 13 It's kind of a new tool for us, and we'll be working
- 14 with you on really figuring out where your talents are
- 15 and how we can utilize you best.
- 16 That's about all I can think of at the moment.
- 17 I'll stick around here for another hour and hopefully
- 18 answer any specifics that you have.
- MR. KHATENA: Let me ask one
- 20 question here. Is there anybody here that is not
- 21 familiar with the job order contract? No? Everybody --
- 22 so everybody is familiar, so you're familiar with the
- 23 unit price book and how that works. Good.
- Okay. Frank?
- MR. WILSON: Okay. I'm the contract

- 1 specialist that will be overseeing the selection
- 2 procedure and -- for the contracting officer, so any
- 3 questions you will have as far as contracting concerns
- 4 or the procedure will come to me. I'm going to sort of
- 5 explain the procedure that explained here is in the
- 6 solicitation to look for. I do have some handouts, but
- 7 here again, everything that's said in these handouts
- 8 will also be a part of the minutes that will go into the
- 9 solicitation.
- 10 The technical review procedure will consist of
- 11 members from the Fort Worth district. It will also
- 12 consist of MEDCOM personnel that will -- that will sit
- in on the selection board and evaluate the technical
- 14 proposals. After receipt of proposals, the first round
- 15 of reviews will first be with me. I will do a
- 16 compliance review. I will ensure that all the forms and
- 17 certifications have been completed.
- 18 If any data is missing, such as bid bonds or the
- 19 signed 1442 or acknowledgment of all the amendments --
- 20 we will still do a technical evaluation even though that
- 21 information is not there; however, if we do a technical
- 22 evaluation and we feel we have a contractor that we can
- award to immediately, those that did not do the bond,
- 24 did not sign the 1442, did not acknowledge amendments
- 25 will automatically be dropped. They will not get the

1 award.

2 But if we do go to a second round where we ask

3 for -- ask questions as far as your proposal and give

4 you deficiencies in your proposals, if we go to that

5 round, then the firms that did not have the bonds, did

6 not have the 1442, did not acknowledge amendments, they

7 will be given the opportunity to correct those at that

8 time.

25

9 One thing that will be done up front is we will 10 present the names of the firms to SBA for them to give 11 us a verification that they -- that you-all meet the 12 requirement of the solicitation as far as being an 8(a) 13 contractor within their umbrella. If they say you're 14 not a contractor within their umbrella, we will not do a 15 technical review. There would be no need for us to do a

16 technical review because there's no way we can make an

17 award to that firm.

We will also do -- after that round has been done, the -- all the proposals that are in compliance, here again with 8(a) mostly, those will go to the technical evaluation board. It usually consists of four to five people. Each person will review the proposal in its entirety, score it individually. Then after the board has all done their individual scoring, then they will

get together and do a combined scoring.

- It will not be an averaging. It will just be --1 they will discuss what they saw versus what somebody else might not have seen, or in the case they might have 3 4 had different interpretations of what the contractor might have meant, or they might find that there was a 5 discrepancy, one section said this and another section 6 said that and so there's a conflict. So these are the 7 kind of things they will discuss. And after that point, 8 they will score it as a consensus scoring to come up 10 with a final scoring at that point. 11 Now, price and cost evaluations, that will not be 12 The technical scoring team will not even see your price and costs. Price and costing will be 13 14 confined to our estimating section and the contracting officer. Those will not be rated, but they will be 15
- Okay. Now, Section L states the government will
 award a firm fixed-price contract to that responsible
 offer whose proposal conforming to the solicitation is
 fair and reasonable and has been determined to be the
 most advantageous to the government. Quality comprised
 of technical approach and performance capability
 factors, price, and other factors considered.

as required by FAR part 31.

evaluated for reasonableness, realism, and affordability

16

17

25 Also, the government reserves the right to accept

- 1 other than the lowest priced offer. And offers are
- 2 reminded to include their best technical and price terms
- 3 in their initial offer and not to automatically assume
- 4 they will have an opportunity to participate in
- 5 discussion or be asked to submit a revised proposal.
- 6 The government may make an award of a conforming
- 7 proposal without discussions if deemed to be within the
- 8 best interests of the government.
- 9 So if the proposal has no deficiencies -- that's
- 10 going to be the first thing the contracting officer is
- 11 going to look at. Are there deficiencies? If we say we
- want a project manager to have X number of years of
- 13 education, X number of years of experience, X number of
- 14 years of similar-type work under a job condition, if he
- 15 fails to meet that, then that is a deficiency. The
- 16 contract cannot be awarded under those conditions.
- 17 So therefore, if somebody does meet all the
- 18 requirements and they're determined fair and reasonable,
- 19 we'll make an award. If we have to go to -- but if
- 20 anybody has deficiencies or if everybody has
- 21 deficiencies and no award can be made, then it will be
- 22 presented -- or they'll go back to the contractors with
- 23 questions for you-all to resolve the issues.
- 24 The contracting officer will -- the contracting
- 25 officer at this point will establish the competitive

- 1 range as outlined by 415.306 C. This will be comprised
- 2 of the most highly rated proposal's technical price. So
- 3 bottom line is -- not likely to happen, but let's say we
- 4 get ten proposals. The contracting officer doesn't want
- 5 to go to ten contractors and have them all compete for
- 6 the second round. The contracting officer is going to
- 7 look over the technical proposals of the pricing and
- 8 narrow it down to maybe the top maybe three, four, or
- 9 five firms.
- 10 So there mentally will be a break somewhere that
- 11 they will say, "These are not likely to make an award.
- 12 These are likely to make an award, " and they'll cut it
- off at that point. And the firms that do not get to the
- 14 second round will be given notification that they failed
- 15 to go to the next round, and the ones that are in the
- 16 competitive range will be given an opportunity to make
- 17 corrections.
- 18 Each firm in competitive range will be contacted
- 19 either verbally or in writing, more than likely in
- 20 writing, and at that point, that will establish
- 21 discussions. Contractors will be required to submit
- 22 technical changes by an established due date or letter.
- 23 We'll tell you when they're due. The technical
- 24 evaluation board will reconvene and review the revision
- 25 individually and do a consensus review and rescore.

So what they're going to do is if we go to you and 1 say, "All right. Here are things that you need to look 2. at and re-evaluate and address and either elaborate more 3 4 or completely change out, " solicitation tells you that you're to do that on the spot as insert sheets. 5 other words, take out a sheet of your original proposal, 6 7 insert the corrected sheet and replace it. It will be marked as a replacement either by a color -- you can put 8 a different sheet color paper in there, whatever the 10 case may be. 11 That is the only thing that board will look at is 12 those revision sheets. That original proposal will be put away and locked up. They will just basically see 13 14 your revisions and did you make the revisions they asked for. And if you did or didn't, they will rescore you 15 and re-evaluate your proposal. Okay. They'll do that 16 individually, just like the original solicitation. 17 18 they'll come back and do a consensus as a group to 19 establish any final score. After all discussions about the technical 20 proposal, the contractors will be requested to submit 21 22 their final revision technical price. So if we do go to you to discussions, we will come back at least one more 23 24 time to say, "All right. Give us your best and final." Usually, when we're asking for that best and final, it's 25

- 1 usually just the price. When we do go to that second -- if we do go to that second round discussion, not only technical will be 3 4 proposed to you, but if there's a problem we feel with your pricing, you will be -- that will also be addressed 5 to you, to revalue pricing either up or down. 6 I mean, I've had times where I've had to tell contractors, "We 7 think you're too low. We don't think you have 8 everything in your proposal covered, so please re-evaluate it." So we're going to be fair up or down, 10 11 either case. 12 After we get that final proposal, the final recommendation for award will be submitted to the 13 14 contracting officer for contract award. 15 (Handouts passed out.) 16 17 MR. WILSON: The number one slide is 18 very, very, very critical. Oral explanations given at 19 this conference are not binding. No matter what I tell
- you today, it doesn't mean anything. It's what's in this solicitation and any amendments we issue. If a solicitation requirement needs to be revised based upon
- this conference, if you-all come up with anything that
- 24 we agree that there might be an error or a
- 25 misunderstanding, a formal written amendment will be

- 1 issued.
- 2 So we can issue these amendments, and I could be
- 3 telling you everything in here is gray. It's not gray
- 4 unless the solicitation says it's gray. If the
- 5 solicitation says it's blue, then it's blue, even though
- 6 I'm saying it's gray. So unless we amend it, this is
- 7 the Bible. So no matter what any of the three of us
- 8 say, if we don't change it, this is it.
- 9 Now, Section A, the 1442, shows you that the
- 10 guaranteed minimum base period is 14,000 for this
- 11 contract. The anticipated amount per the period is
- 12 700,000 for this contract. Total not to exceed amount
- 13 for the life of this contract is 3.5 million.
- Section B, supplies or services, this is where the
- 15 pricing schedule is. There are five line items within
- 16 the price schedule. The first two are for your
- 17 coefficient for the work plan for prepriced and
- 18 nonprepriced. The second -- the second -- or the next
- 19 three, excuse me, are totally for construction, and it
- 20 will be the preprice, the nonpreprice, and any asbestos
- 21 and lead-based paint abatement.
- The next key issue under Section B is B.2, the
- 23 offers. We give you a pretty good listing of what needs
- 24 to be incorporated into your coefficient. These are
- 25 things that for sure need to be in there, but we also

- 1 tell you this is not all-inclusive. So you have to take
- 2 some determination on your own what else needs to be
- added. These are key areas that we feel, as the
- 4 government, needs to be and has to be in your
- 5 coefficient -- built in to your coefficient.
- 6 Now, options will be at the discretion of the
- 7 government. We have the all-inclusive right to issue
- 8 the option. If we determine that the need is no more --
- 9 not necessary or if we feel that the work proceeding has
- 10 not been meeting the requirements of the contract, we,
- 11 the government, have a right not to issue the option.
- We will not award options early. We're telling
- 13 you that the price per period is an anticipated,
- 14 estimated amount. So our intent is around 700,000, but
- 15 if we do a million-five in the first year, we're going
- 16 to -- we will stay within that 12-month period for the
- 17 base. We won't award an option early. We, in fact,
- 18 could award the entire contract within the base year and
- 19 never award an option ever.
- The whole deal is to keep that coefficient for
- 21 twelve months no matter how much we award or don't
- 22 award. We could award 50,000 in the first year, but in
- 23 the second year award 450,000. So it just depends on
- 24 what the work is, what the money comes up, and how
- 25 things go.

- 1 The next area is the area cost factor index.
- 2 Everything about this contract is based upon Fort Lewis.
- 3 The unit price book is based upon Fort Lewis,
- 4 Washington.
- 5 B.7, performance and payment bonds, in the past,
- 6 performance and payment bonds have been excluded from
- 7 the coefficient, but they're now back in there. When
- 8 JOC were first invented, the coefficient included it.
- 9 Then they decided, no, we have to have that separate;
- 10 you bill it separate. Well, it's back into the
- 11 coefficient, so there will not be a separate payment for
- 12 performance and payment bonds.
- Paragraph B.8, adjustment to unit price for the
- 14 option years, this explains how we will increase the
- 15 option coefficient. It will be a mathematical
- 16 procedure. You will not be bidding for any outlay in
- 17 years. You're just going to bid the one base period,
- 18 and after that, this procedure will be used to increase
- 19 or decrease -- not likely -- your option.
- 20 Section C, this is the description and
- 21 specification work statement, very critical. This is
- 22 probably one of the three most important sections of the
- 23 solicitation. Now, at time of award, some of the
- 24 sections drop out, such as L and M and K. They drop out
- 25 because they're no longer part of the -- because that

- 1 was all presolicitation information. This C will be for
- 2 the life of the contract. So it's very critical that
- 3 you get a full grasp of C.
- 4 Section D is a real difficult section because we
- 5 didn't use it, so the interpretation is very loose.
- 6 Section E is your inspection and acceptance. It's just
- 7 three clauses, very simple, very cut and dry and to the
- 8 point.
- 9 Section F is your deliveries or performance. Here
- 10 again, there's only five clauses. This discussed time
- 11 extensions, extensions because of weather, liquidated
- 12 damages for the construction, and periods of
- 13 performance. So it's a short section, but it's still
- 14 just as important as anything else.
- 15 Section G is the contract administration data.
- 16 It's only nine clauses, but it deals with accounting
- 17 appropriations for indefinite delivery contracts. It,
- of course, has a payment clause, but I know none of you
- 19 are interested in that clause, so we'll proceed on to I.
- I is the contract clauses. Those will be -- oh,
- 21 we somehow -- no, I skipped H. I'm sorry. H is special
- 22 requirements. This really is also an important section,
- 23 and it will stay there for the life of the contract.
- 24 Some of the main contract information is H.5, minimum
- 25 contract value and, now as Al has mentioned, H.9, which

- 1 is the ordering procedures. Then H.32, the ADP support
- 2 environments for the contract, very important. All that
- 3 will be part of your coefficient, so make sure you've
- 4 got it all covered as part of your contract.
- 5 Section I is the contract clauses. Those are
- 6 basically straight out of the regulations. There's no
- 7 way to edit them or get around them or get away from
- 8 them. Those are there, and we've got to live with them.
- 9 Section J is your attachments, basically division
- one, the technical specs, and then the unit price books,
- 11 which are very, very critical. Make sure you look at
- 12 that unit price book. Make sure you see the quirks in
- 13 it. I quarantee you there are going to be some things
- 14 that are going to be underpriced; there's going to be
- 15 some things that are going to be overpriced.
- Once the contract is awarded, you live with those
- 17 prices, so your coefficient needs to be adjustable --
- 18 you know, take care -- or take into consideration those
- 19 areas that might be wrong. If you find something before
- 20 award that you feel is a real, real heartbreaker, it's
- 21 your duty to get with -- basically with me, send me an
- 22 e-mail or a fax or something, saying your concerns.
- 23 This will be forwarded to Al, and Al will forward it as
- 24 necessary to see if this needs to be adjusted because,
- 25 here again, once that contract is awarded, you live or

- 1 die with those prices and, needless to say, Fort Lewis
- 2 will live or die with those prices.
- Also, we've added the JOC guide, August '98 issue,
- 4 which hopefully hasn't -- to my knowledge hasn't been
- 5 upgraded since then. In the past, we've never put this
- 6 in there. We are now making it part of the contract
- 7 because there are some areas in that JOC guide that the
- 8 solicitation doesn't address and has come into issue,
- 9 into play, in the past under other JOC contracts. So
- 10 we've decided, at least in our district, to add the
- 11 quide to it to make it part of the contract, that you
- 12 could live or die with that too.
- 13 Attachment 6 is wage determination decisions.
- 14 Once the contract is awarded, those wage determinations
- 15 are for the life of the contract. They will not be
- 16 changed. They will not be amended in any way. They are
- 17 there.
- In the past, our biggest problem with awarding the
- 19 contract in a timely manner is the wage determinations
- 20 are changed weekly. And in fact, when Al and I were
- 21 trying to award the job order contracts nationwide, the
- 22 last four amendments were nothing but putting in wage
- 23 determinations. And therefore, when we came to the
- 24 final pricing, we gave the contractor less than two days
- 25 to turn around with his final price because we issued an

- 1 amendment that Friday with the new determination, gave
- 2 him his letter, and we said your proposal is due on
- 3 Tuesday morning because we had to make the award by
- 4 Thursday because we knew Friday would be another set of
- 5 determinations which would require another amendment.
- 6 So we had to do it within that time frame.
- 7 Fort Lewis probably won't be that big of a deal
- 8 because it's the one determination for just Fort Lewis,
- 9 where with the ones we did for nationwide was across the
- 10 nation. It's kind of hard to avoid one change in that
- 11 week period.
- 12 Section J also has the affirmative action plan.
- 13 That's critical for you to make sure you keep that in
- 14 mind. Section K is your representation certification
- 15 and other statements and offers. That is required to be
- 16 filled out in its entirety and submitted with your
- 17 original proposal. That would also be a breaker, that
- 18 if you do not submit it and if we don't go to
- 19 discussions and you didn't submit it, you would
- 20 therefore also be left out of the running.
- Now, we get to the fun sections, Section L and M.
- 22 There are a few local clauses and such, and there are a
- 23 few FARs, but the big issues in Section L will be
- 24 L.11 -- needless to say that's pre-proposal
- 25 conference -- L.34, the requirement for central

- 1 contractor registration. You must be registered. If
- 2 you're not registered at the time of award, an award
- 3 can't been made. You don't have to be registered at the
- 4 time you submit your proposal, but if we award a week
- 5 later and you're still not registered, you don't get the
- 6 award. So it's critical you get the award -- or get the
- 7 registration done prior to the contracting officer
- 8 signing the document.
- 9 MR. HARTSO: Are you saying that
- 10 this 11 is this preconference we're having now?
- 11 MR. WILSON: Yes.
- MR. HARTSO: And is this a mandatory
- 13 preconference?
- MR. WILSON: No.
- MR. HARTSO: Okay.
- MR. WILSON: Page L-13, proposal
- 17 submission requirements and instructions, this is where
- 18 it gets to what you are to submit to us to do a
- 19 technical evaluation. L.36, the directions for
- 20 submission of offers, this tells you how; L.37, proposal
- 21 submission instructions; L.38, technical proposal and
- 22 past performance; and L.39, price proposal.
- We go to great detail telling you what to submit.
- 24 Please submit it in the order we're asking it to be
- 25 submitted. We're not saying you have to submit it that

- 1 way, but you've got to realize these people that are
- 2 going to be doing the technical evaluation -- it will be
- 3 a lot smoother if they can just start Page 1, going all
- 4 the way down, and you're mapping out just what we've
- 5 asked for because if they have to start hunting and
- 6 looking -- I'm not going to say it's going to go against
- 7 you, but if they can't find it, you're not going to get
- 8 credit for it. And if it's not in the right place, then
- 9 you're taking a risk that they won't be able to find it.
- 10 We ask for you to submit in, I believe, a
- 11 three-inch binder. If you use a three-and-a-half-inch
- 12 binder, we will put the paperwork that you submitted
- into a three-inch binder, and that that does not fit
- 14 into that three-inch binder will not be evaluated. It
- 15 will be basically just put in a sealed envelope and
- 16 never be looked at. So if you have critical stuff in
- 17 that last half inch, it won't be reviewed; it won't be
- 18 scored. That could be a cost breaker.
- 19 Price proposal is, here again, strictly the bid
- 20 schedule, but we also tell you we want you to provide
- 21 written backup on how you came up with your coefficient
- 22 so we'll see what you've included and not included so
- 23 we'll have a better handle onto the reasonableness of
- 24 your price.
- 25 Section M almost repeats the information in

- 1 Section L. M.4 is your evaluation of technical. M.5 is
- 2 the criteria for award. M.6 is the evaluation factors
- 3 for a technical proposal. M.7 is the evaluation factors
- 4 for your price proposal. What we will do -- what we
- 5 tell you in M is basically, like I said, repeating L,
- 6 but it's showing you the relationship of importance.
- 7 Not only do we not want you to submit it in the order we
- 8 give you; we'll tell you that is the order of importance
- 9 also. So technically, if you did send us that
- 10 three-and-a-half-inch binder, that half-inch part --
- 11 last half-inch will not be the most critical, but it
- does give you the order of importance and the percentage
- of how we rate them within a section.
- 14 And that's really all I have. Now the question --
- now the floor is open to you-all as to whether you-all
- 16 have any questions about what we've got here and what
- 17 you need to do.
- 18 MR. KHATENA: Let me offer you
- 19 something in terms of that three-inch binder. It's not
- 20 absolutely necessary that you pack all the stuff into a
- 21 three-inch binder. If you can be concise and have the
- 22 kind of information that we're looking for that takes
- less than a three-inch binder, please feel free to do
- 24 that. Don't try to make all the fluff and throw in
- 25 stuff that's just incoherent. We find some of that

- 1 happening, and it makes it difficult to review. We're
- 2 just looking for substance, and substance doesn't have
- 3 to be very voluminous.
- 4 MR. WILSON: Usually, brochures are
- 5 of no value. They're usually never looked at because
- 6 there's nothing really there. All it is is your
- 7 advertisement for your firm. We've had firms submit
- 8 videos. We don't look at videos. We want what we're
- 9 asking for, nothing more, nothing less.
- 10 Like Al said, don't put the same information in
- 11 five different places, just put them in the order we ask
- 12 for and just the information we ask for. It makes it a
- 13 lot easier on you-all, less work on you-all, but
- 14 hopefully, it will be a good checking for you-all.
- 15 Basically, sit there with Section L and your proposals
- 16 out -- I want this -- and find it. That's the easiest
- 17 way you can do it.
- 18 Several years ago, I did an architectural
- 19 engineering debriefing to a firm that wanted to know why
- 20 they didn't get selected. Needless to say, we were
- 21 selecting one firm; there was 98 firms submitted. So it
- 22 would be -- the odds were not in their favor. So he
- 23 came to the office, and I set him down in the conference
- 24 room and said, "I'm not even going to read what we
- 25 evaluated." I said, "I'm going to just read the CBD

- 1 announcement of what we asked for. And there's your
- 2 proposal that you gave to me. You find the information
- 3 I'm looking for, "I said. Because bottom line is, here
- 4 again, one firm out of 98 was going to get that
- 5 proposal.
- 6 So I started reading the CBD and said, "Do you
- 7 have this?"
- And he'd turn to the page, "Yeah, right here."
- 9 And I'd say, "Well, do you have that?"
- 10 And he'd turn to it, "Right here."
- 11 The key area that hurt him the most is I said,
- 12 "Where's architectural hardware specialist?"
- He said, "Oh, our architect."
- I said, "Okay. Where does it say in that
- 15 architect's resume that he is an architectural hardware
- 16 specialist?"
- 17 "Well, we know it."
- I said, "Yeah, but we don't."
- 19 So we kept on going. There was about three areas
- 20 in the CBD that he did not cover. And I said, "Now, are
- 21 you the best qualified for this contract?"
- He said, "No. I see your point." Same point goes
- 23 with this. Go through Section L line by line and make
- 24 sure your proposal is covering line by line what we're
- 25 asking for because that's exactly what that board is

- 1 going to do. They're going to look at L and look for
- 2 exactly what we're asking for, nothing more, nothing
- 3 less.
- 4 We're not going to read into anything that you can
- 5 do. We're not going to rely on Fort Lewis sitting there
- 6 going, "Oh, well, I know that contractor. Heck, yeah,
- 7 he can do this." That doesn't count. What counts is
- 8 what's in the written page, so that's the most
- 9 important.
- 10 MR. COX: Frank?
- MR. WILSON: Yes.
- 12 MR. COX: A trivial question. On
- 13 B.1 of your chart --
- MR. KHATENA: Would you state your
- 15 name.
- MR. COX: Casey Cox, J & J
- 17 Maintenance -- is that a Texas word, or is that a real
- 18 word? I'm just not familiar with it. The second word,
- 19 "scheduleion," or something like that.
- MR. KHATENA: Oh, it's a misprint, a
- 21 typo. He's pulling your leg.
- MR. WILSON: Which one?
- MR. KHATENA: B.1.
- MR. WILSON: Which leg?
- MR. COX: Both of them. Well, I

- 1 thought maybe it was a real word and I was just too
- 2 ignorant to know the difference.
- 3 MR. WILSON: It is a real word in
- 4 Texas. Just take our word for it.
- 5 MR. COX: So you meant to just say
- 6 schedule; right?
- 7 MR. WILSON: Yes.
- MR. HEIM: Frank, let me make sure I
- 9 understand this. Because -- Jeff Heim from Madigan --
- 10 because the book is based on Fort Lewis --
- 11 MR. WILSON: Correct.
- 12 MR. HEIM: -- there is no area cost
- 13 factor; it's one?
- 14 MR. KHATENA: That's correct. Just
- 15 so that everybody understands what we're talking about,
- 16 this area cost factor, since we had -- have nationwide
- 17 contracts out there, we still had to pick one location
- 18 that the unit price book had to be based on. And since
- 19 we knew we were going to do the 8(a) contract, we picked
- 20 Fort Lewis and used that as the basis for all the
- 21 pricing.
- 22 So the contracts for the rest of the nation have
- 23 to be adjusted somehow, and we put in there cost factors
- 24 based on information that we get out of our
- 25 headquarters. Every year or so, it's updated based on

- 1 indices throughout the United States. And Fort Lewis,
- 2 in this case, for your purposes, is one, the multiplier.
- 3 Therefore, the unit price book prices stand as what they
- 4 are in addition to your multiplier coefficient for your
- 5 overhead and profit.
- 6 MR. LeVANDER: Brent LeVander,
- 7 Centennial Contractors. I have some questions probably
- 8 for you, Mr. Heim. Is the facility planning on
- 9 procuring work from both the 8(a) contract and the
- 10 regional JOC contract?
- 11 MR. HEIM: Let's see. How do I do
- 12 this? I mean, we expect to get our money broken free
- 13 here hopefully within the next couple weeks. We still
- 14 need to get on with business, and so we're going to be
- 15 ordering work from J & J up until the point that this
- 16 8(a) contract comes on board. Then, as I understand,
- 17 we're somewhat committed to look to you first to go to
- 18 work. That's the philosophy that we'll be operating
- 19 under.
- Now, a lot depends on who you are and -- I mean,
- 21 we're very anxious to get you on board and to give you
- 22 some work because we want to be able to have excess
- 23 capacity, and we want to test run you, see if you're any
- 24 good, but a lot depends on how the relationship goes.
- 25 But as I understand it in talking to Al, we're kind of

- 1 committed to looking to give work to you first when
- 2 you're on board. We're not absolutely required to go to
- 3 you -- we have minimums to meet -- but it is our
- 4 anticipation that we want to, you know, give you a fair
- 5 share if things work out.
- I guess that's -- is that appropriate?
- 7 MR. KHATENA: Yes.
- 8 MR. HEIM: I mean, we never did get
- 9 down to the details of this, but... (Pause.)
- MR. WILSON: Based upon my
- 11 knowledge, MEDCOM is very supportive of the 8(a)
- 12 program. They really like it. They've had some very,
- 13 very good, successful relationships with 8(a), but the
- 14 nature of this beast -- this is an IDIO contract. We
- 15 are not required to give you all the work. If this was
- 16 a requirements contract -- let's say it was a
- 17 requirements contract for roofing. If Fort Lewis were
- 18 to do any roofing on installation, they're required to
- 19 give it to the requirements contract. They can't go
- 20 around it unless -- there is a caveat to that. If they
- 21 were rehabbing a building in its entirety and roofing
- 22 was a part of it, that is not a requirement to go under
- 23 the roofing contract because it's complete renovation.
- But since this is an IDIO, it's going to boil down
- 25 to -- if the 8(a) contractor is successful,

- 1 competitively priced, timely, quality work, I don't
- 2 think they'd have a reason to go to J & J. The 8(a)
- 3 contractor themselves probably will make the
- 4 determination for them to either use you or not.
- 5 MR. HEIM: One thing we cannot do
- 6 and we will not do is we're not going to job shop.
- 7 We're not going to work you against J & J or whatever.
- 8 We're going to have to feel this out and find out where
- 9 your talents are. They're a much larger organization
- 10 and may have different assets than you will. I'm not
- 11 sure how this will work, but we're going to give you
- 12 absolutely every chance to show that you're the
- 13 contractor that we want to work with.
- I think what we're hearing and seeing as far as
- 15 budget projections for the next several years is there
- 16 should be plenty of money to go around and satisfy
- 17 everybody. Again, our business is feast or famine. If
- 18 we go to war with Iraq tomorrow, they could steal every
- 19 penny we have. And I'm sure if you've worked with the
- 20 Feds before, you know how this is. A lot of this is out
- of our hands, we don't have any control over it, but
- 22 it's our intentions to treat you fairly and use you as
- 23 much as we can. We just need to see who you are, what
- 24 your abilities are, things you're good at, some things
- 25 you might not be good at. I don't know. We will work

```
1 with you.
```

- 2 MR. WILSON: Any other questions?
- 3 MR. BATHURST: I have a question.
- 4 Chuck Bathurst with Straightline Construction. You had
- 5 alluded to the fact that the JOC quide was added in
- 6 Section J as an attachment and said there was specific
- 7 reasons. Could you elaborate on hypothetical reasons
- 8 why that is?
- 9 I don't know if that question makes a lot of
- 10 sense, but you had said that you added it in to cover
- 11 more areas. It wasn't included prior, in the past, the
- 12 JOC guide.
- MR. WILSON: I'm sorry --
- MR. BATHURST: You said there were
- 15 issues that came up that precluded that you added this
- 16 Section J. You had made that statement.
- 17 MR. KHATENA: Let me -- you want me
- 18 to answer it?
- MR. WILSON: Go ahead.
- 20 MR. KHATENA: What it amounts to is
- 21 that the JOC steering committee for the Army gives
- 22 quidance to the government about how the JOC ought to
- 23 operate and what the contractors should be expected to
- 24 do down to some details that the contract itself doesn't
- 25 quite establish. You can't write everything down in

- 1 black and white and that kind of stuff.
- 2 So to bring some clarity to the issue is like --
- 3 for instance, the contractor -- we're told that in order
- 4 to -- that JOC is to be an expedient tool to get
- 5 construction done, but then the contractor shall be used
- 6 to develop the contract documents to the best -- to the
- 7 most of its use, basically to get the contractor --
- 8 leverage the contractor to do the sketches, the detailed
- 9 scope of work, so the government isn't doing it. You're
- 10 going out to the scope validation site visit, and so the
- 11 expectation is that you're going to develop the
- 12 documents.
- 13 If you get some documents from the government,
- 14 then if there's some gaps of information, then you are
- to add more information to the documents to make it more
- 16 whole, those kinds of things. I think that was one of
- 17 the issues where the contractor would come back and say,
- 18 "You didn't give me enough information."
- 19 Well, that's what the scope validation visit is
- 20 all about, to bring that to fruition. And there's a
- 21 handoff. The government says, "Here's what I have. Now
- 22 give me back a little bit more to further define and
- 23 more completely define the project."
- 24 Perhaps that helps.
- MR. BATHURST: Well, yeah, I think

- 1 so.
- 2 MR. WILSON: Another thing that they
- 3 had an issue in -- now that I've thought about -- is
- 4 we've had in the past modified the task order that was
- 5 done, and the contractor submitted his proposal not
- 6 using the unit price book. And we go, "No, any action
- 7 under this contract is under the umbrella of the unit
- 8 price book. The modification must be done under the
- 9 unit price book."
- 10 He says, "It doesn't tell me that. Where does it
- 11 tell me that?"
- 12 And so it got to a real nasty point of him getting
- 13 his attorney versus our attorney to discuss whether the
- 14 unit price book was required to do the mod. Well, the
- 15 JOC tells you the unit price book is to do all actions
- 16 under the contract.
- 17 So now we're saying, "All right. We'll put --"
- 18 because the unit -- the guide book is as it says, it's a
- 19 guide. It is for the government, as a guide, to do a
- 20 JOC. For years, there were no regulations to do a JOC.
- 21 There are some now that supports the JOC guide. So we
- 22 just felt it was easier to go ahead and give you the
- 23 guide that tells you how we're to do it and how to
- 24 operate it.
- 25 And it -- it gives you information on how we're

- 1 supposed to evaluate the who, what, where, and when. It
- 2 tells us how it's supposed to be run, how it's supposed
- 3 to be managed. So we're just giving it there, but it's
- 4 a catchall that the regulations don't cover but yet the
- 5 quide recommends. So we just said, "All right. Since
- 6 this is all we have, we're going to make it part of the
- 7 contract."
- 8 Any other questions?
- 9 MR. KHATENA: Let me state something
- 10 else about the guide. Most of it is about how to get a
- 11 JOC contract going and how to get it awarded, you know,
- 12 the packages and all that. So there are only a few
- 13 sections in there that you'd want to look at in terms of
- 14 execution. I think Section 5 is the big one, although
- 15 there are some other elements in there.
- 16 MR. HEIM: I did have another
- 17 thought that came to me related to his question. As I
- 18 mentioned, we sometimes don't have a lot of control over
- 19 our money, you know. It comes in bunches. One of the
- 20 things that is important to us -- and I guess it would
- 21 be important to you to include in your proposal -- is to
- 22 show or demonstrate your ability or how you would be
- 23 able to handle multiple jobs at the same time.
- Quite frequently, we'll go through stretches where
- 25 we have no money and then several jobs at the same time.

- 1 Your ability to be able to handle multiple jobs at once
- 2 would be a factor that might require us to go to J & J
- 3 or whoever the global JOC contractor would be, so --
- 4 MR. WILSON: And time to respond to
- 5 those.
- 6 MR. HEIM: Correct. I don't know
- 7 how many of you have worked in a hospital. We're a
- 8 little different. I've worked in public works on post,
- 9 several installations, and there's a higher sense of
- 10 standards here. There's a higher sensitivity to some
- 11 issues. Doctors and nurses, by nature, they're not very
- 12 patient, so your ability to respond, to mobilize
- 13 quickly, is of value to us.
- 14 There's also some issues that you will deal with
- 15 here in the hospital that you wouldn't deal with
- 16 elsewhere that you'd need to be aware of. Scheduling is
- 17 a little bit more riskier in a facility like this with
- 18 patients, obviously. Infection control is a big issue,
- 19 having to plan and deal with that. You normally don't
- 20 have those issues around the installation proper.
- 21 There's a lot of -- not a lot, but more regulatory
- 22 permitting that we might have to deal with here. For
- 23 example, in working on a fire alarm system or doing some
- 24 kind of welding in the hospital that affects the fire
- 25 alarm system, we have to go through some extra

- procedures. So it's just something to be aware of, that you're dealing with a different beast here, a little bit
- 3 more of a bureaucratic situation, and your ability to be
- 4 able to react to that is important to us.
- 5 I'm not sure how you would be able to demonstrate
- 6 some of that through the proposal, but -- also, I guess
- 7 this is worth mentioning. We put a high value on --
- 8 when dealing with our systems in your willingness to
- 9 cooperate with us and using OEM, original equipment
- 10 manufacturers, either the firms themselves or parts.
- 11 It's important to us that we -- we can't tell you -- we
- 12 can't mandate that you do this, I guess, but we like to
- 13 suggest, many times, sole sourcing certain things for
- 14 certain equipment. That sometimes puts you folks in a
- 15 position to having to work with companies that aren't
- 16 necessarily easy to work with sometimes or -- how do I
- 17 say this, Casey? We put you in difficult situations,
- 18 and we would like to put you in difficult situations --
- 19 MR. COX: Stressful.
- MR. HEIM: It's an issue that we
- 21 have to deal with here because we have a lot of systems
- 22 that we like to keep as they are. For example, we work
- 23 with Simplex fire alarm systems. It's critical that we
- 24 don't mix and match systems. AMSCO transfer switches,
- 25 stuff like that. So we value your willingness to

- 1 cooperate in those areas.
- 2 MR. KHATENA: And I think when it
- 3 comes to, you know, a question of price and if in fact
- 4 the unit price book won't support the price for a sole
- 5 source, then what we will do is we will negotiate a
- 6 modifier to the price for that type of item. We have a
- 7 line item for it, that kind of thing, but you don't get
- 8 to compete it. So what we'll do is we'll do an addition
- 9 as a nonpreprice item modifier so that we can cover your
- 10 costs, but, you know, vendor quotes are going to be
- 11 necessary so that we'll know what it is that's being
- 12 proposed to you-all from your vendors.
- 13 MR. HEIM: We will never get into a
- 14 situation where we're going to mandate the -- a
- 15 situation where you're going to have to accommodate us
- 16 and lose. We've never been there. It's not good
- 17 business for us, and it's not good business for you.
- 18 Our goal is to have a contract where everybody's happy;
- 19 we get a good job. You walk away; you're smiling
- 20 because you've made money; your sub has made money. The
- 21 user is happy. So we always work with this.
- 22 And like Al said, when we get into these
- 23 situations -- and it's not very often -- we sit down and
- 24 we work it out somehow.
- 25 MR. KHATENA: Basing at the

- 1 hospital, as Jeff was alluding to, I mean, you don't get
- 2 to -- you may have a 5,000 square foot rehab job, but
- 3 you can only work 100 square feet at a time, and you
- 4 don't get to take out all the sheetrock, and you don't
- 5 get to gut the area altogether, so, you know, there's
- 6 going to be an assumed plan. At some point, you'll have
- 7 the phasing plan. If that doesn't work out, then we'll
- 8 make adjustments to the schedule, but we do -- whatever
- 9 we negotiate, we want you to adhere to unless something
- 10 has changed. Then there's a changed condition, and
- 11 we'll deal with that.
- 12 As long as you're working directly with the
- facility and they're pleased with your work and you're
- 14 communicating, very important, then things will go
- 15 smoothly, as they have over the many years that we've
- 16 been working. There's a learning curve in the
- 17 beginning. It just -- but the contract is requesting
- 18 those that have experience, hospital experience, at the
- 19 program manager level, project management level, and
- 20 superintendents, so it's not like we're just saying
- 21 anybody ought to be applying for this contract, offering
- 22 on this contract. It's -- we want the credentials that
- 23 come with it to assure that we have people that know the
- 24 hospital business, already have those sensitivities
- 25 built in.

1 MR. WILSON: Also, in response to 2. your question about the JOC quide, another issue is the JOC guide says you're supposed to negotiate method of 3 4 work, so there has to be a methodology of performance you're going to do. Well, if you submit a proposal to 5 6 hand paint a room at the highest cost in the unit price 7 book for painting and the user agrees to this price and this method and you come in there with spray guns and 8 spray it in about 15 minutes and walk out and expect to get the profit, you won't. 10 11 You have negotiated your method was to hand paint, 12 and therefore, their inspectors will expect to see hand painting. If they wanted spray, then they'd have done 13 14 that in the negotiation and gotten you to change that in 15 your proposal to what -- the same method. So that's another purpose of the unit price book. We've had a lot 16 of problems with contractors negotiating for the 17 18 difficult price, the higher price, and then going in 19 there with a quick job and doing it at a lesser price in order to jack up their profit. So there's been a lot of 20 21 bitter fights over that issue. 2.2 All we're saying is we're negotiating the method, 23 and we expect you to do the work under that same method that you negotiated the price for. 24

Any questions? Any other questions?

25

Page 42 1 MR. LeVANDER: Brent LeVander, Centennial Contractors again. I was wondering -- and I realize the budget for this upcoming year is unknown. Ι 4 was wondering if you could give us some very broad numbers as far as annual work accomplished, historical 5 type numbers, what's been --6 7 MR. KHATENA: Let me try and address some of that just for a moment. I know I'm not here 8 from Madigan, but I have had some discussions with your predecessor, Chuck Cole. 10 11 He's still around, isn't he? 12 MR. HEIM: Yes. 13 And basically, the MR. KHATENA: 14 estimated annual maximums reflect --15 MR. LeVANDER: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. KHATENA: -- that expectation. 17 MR. LeVANDER: So you've based the 18 maximum on a historical pattern? 19 MR. KHATENA: Yes. It's not a 20 quaranteed amount. 21 I mean, we all know MR. WILSON: 2.2 under government contracts, that they probably have a 23 list of about \$40 million worth of work they'd love to 24 get done, but that's not realistic. And obviously, the 25 more we are overseas doing what we're doing, more money

- 1 is being taken away from installations to cover that
- 2 issue.
- 3 MR. HEIM: If we had this meeting
- 4 here a month from now, I could probably give you a
- 5 detailed list of project by project of things that we
- 6 would intend to throw at you, but the list, the
- 7 priorities, how this shakes out -- and I -- we also deal
- 8 with funding the projects for Alaska and for California.
- 9 They're in our region. So it's kind of hard to figure
- 10 out where the money goes. Until we get a solid number
- 11 from our headquarters, we're shooting in the dark.
- 12 MR. WILSON: And of course, that
- 13 solid number a month from now could change 30 days after
- 14 that.
- MR. HEIM: Yes. That's the
- 16 frustrating part of our business.
- 17 MR. BATHURST: I had a question for
- 18 Jeff. Chuck Bathurst with Straightline. In regards to
- 19 architectural engineering services, I'm assuming that
- 20 the hospital has as-builts, either hard copies or
- 21 something that might be something that could be e-mailed
- 22 on maybe CAD or something like that? I'm just wondering
- 23 from that standpoint how difficult that could be.
- MR. HEIM: We have great as-builts.
- 25 They're on a card file system, most of them, not on CAD.

- 1 So whatever you need is available. Normally, you'd have
- 2 to come and get it or we could send it to you, but a lot
- 3 of it is not e-mailable. We have -- you couldn't find a
- 4 place that has better as-built drawings than this place,
- 5 and we've maintained them fairly well. Now, that speaks
- 6 for this facility. Old Madigan, different story, but we
- 7 do our best to provide you with the best drawings we
- 8 can.
- 9 We didn't talk about this, but kind of the rule
- 10 that we operate under is what we expect from you in
- 11 terms of a final product -- if we give you a nice CAD
- 12 drawing, we expect a CAD drawing in return marked up.
- 13 If we give you something on a napkin, that's what we
- 14 expect in return unless we specify otherwise. The
- 15 reason is we have a hard time finding adequate drawings
- 16 with some of the on-line facilities, and a lot depends
- on your demonstrated ability to work with our limited
- 18 designs. Sometimes we can hen scratch stuff on a napkin
- 19 and you guys can take it and work with it; sometimes
- 20 it's a little more difficult.
- 21 But generally speaking, we will be providing you
- 22 fairly detailed drawings as part of the proposals.
- 23 MR. KHATENA: I have to say that
- 24 you're going to be working with a pretty savvy team over
- 25 here. They know what they're doing. They know the

Page 45 facility and will more or less be directing you than 1 asking you for recommendations. You're going to have a lot of support from here, and therefore -- Jeff's 4 comment about, you know, they've basically got all the 5 engineering folks they need, but perhaps architectural, structural, some things that may need additional help on 6 the professional services side, and one of the things 7 being, if they want more sophisticated drawings than on 8 a napkin and they can't provide you that, then there's going to be that element in professional services that 10 11 will be ordered and paid for. Anybody else? 12 MR. WILSON: 13 nobody else has any comments or any questions, I guess 14 we'll call this to an end. (Proceedings concluded.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25